

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

Print Report Card

[Instructions on Awarding Points](#)

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Exits to Permanent Housing				
1. Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
2. Earned income for project stayers	8%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
3. Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
4. Earned income for project leavers	8%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
5. Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ increase	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of 35
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
6. Data Quality - Applicant has an error rate below 10% for Personally Identifiable Information		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
7. Data Quality - Applicant has an error rate below 10% for Universal Data Elements		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
8. Data Quality - Applicant has an error rate below 10% for Chronic Homelessness		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
9. Application Timeliness - Application was received on or before the due date		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
10. Application Completeness/Accuracy - All required information was included and was accurate		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
11. Fund Utilization - All project funds are being fully expended		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	25
12. Priority Groups - HUD priority groups are served (Chronically Homeless, Families, Youth 18-24, Homeless Veterans)		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
13. Housing First/Low Barrier - Participants quickly moved to Permanent Housing w/o requirements or preconditions		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	25
14. Cost Effectiveness - Project is cost effective compared to average cost per person within the project type		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
15. Audit Risk Category - Most recent audit identified agency as "low risk"		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
16. Audit Findings - Most recent audit indicates no findings		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
17. Overall Impression - Overall impression of the application		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of 125
TOTAL SCORE			0	out of 160
Weighted Rating Score			0	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ <input type="text"/>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ <input type="text"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<input type="text"/>